

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/773,911 | 02/06/2004 | Min-Hao Kuo | MSU-08548 | 8594 | |
| 75 | 7590 10/10/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Peter G. Carroll MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP Suite 350 101 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 | | | JOIKE, MICHELE K | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1636 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| N. C. o. of Ab and annual | 10/773,911 | KUO, MIN-HAO | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Michele K. Joike, Ph.D. | 1636 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| 1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of | lailing or Transmission dated)month(s)) which expired on | · | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does in | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), of | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) | | the statutory period of three months | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | received on (with a Certifica eriod for payment of the issue fee (an | te of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) 🗌 The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | eriod set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a represe | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. 🛛 The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Applicant's attorney, Peter Carroll, indicated that the | | DAVID GUZU RIMARY EXAMINER. | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term. | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| | | | |